## Corrigendum

8

## Corrigendum: Using DNA metabarcoding for assessing chironomid diversity and community change in mosquito controlled temporary wetlands. MBMG 2: e21060. https://doi.org/10.3897/mbmg.2.21060

Kathrin Theissinger<sup>1</sup>, Anna Kästel<sup>1</sup>, Vasco Elbrecht<sup>2</sup>, Jenny Makkonen<sup>1,3</sup>, Susanne Michiels<sup>4</sup>, Susanne I. Schmidt<sup>1</sup>, Stefanie Allgeier<sup>1</sup>, Florian Leese<sup>2</sup>, Carsten A. Brühl<sup>1</sup>

- 1 University of Koblenz-Landau, Institute for Environmental Sciences, Landau, Germany
- 2 University of Duisburg-Essen, Aquatic Ecosystem Research, Essen, Germany
- 3 University of Eastern Finland, Department of Environmental and Biological Sciences, Kuopio, Finland
- 4 AquaDiptera, Emmendingen, Germany

Corresponding author: Kathrin Theissinger (theissinger@uni-landau.de)

Academic editor: Dirk Steinke | Received 17 November 2020 | Accepted 18 November 2020 | Published 11 December 2020

## Explanation regarding the background leading to this corrigendum

The main reasons for this corrigendum were discrepancies between the detected number of chironomids in a report from 2014 and the MBMG article, published in 2018. In the MBMG article from 2018, overall more chironomids were reported that were not detected in the report from 2014. The reasons for this are outlined below.

In general, the abundance data used in this study were part of a project conducted in 2013, which investigated the influence of mosquito control with Bti on the food web. This study was approved and financed by the town Neustadt an der Weinstrasse (Germany). The township requested a project report, which was due in March 2014. By this time, the data were not finally evaluated. In particular, a taxonomic training course for determining Chironomidae subfamilies was attended later in the year 2014 by some of the project participants. After this training we found out that many chironomids of the subfamily Orthocladiinae were wrongly determined as Cecidomyiidae. This had direct impacts on the results and created differences in comparison to the report that was published 2014. All detected differences between the report and this manuscript are listed below in Table SI1.

**Table SI1.** Explanation of detected differences in chironomid abundances between the report from 2014 and the manuscript Theissinger et al. (2018), listed by sampling site and sampling week (WAA).

Sampling	WAA	Report 2014	MBMG -	Explanation
site			manuscript	
S	2–13	identical; WAA		This site was not sampled in WAA12.
		12 is missing		
G	2-13	identical; WAA		This site was not sampled in WAA 11. Due to weather conditions sampling in WAA 11 was interrupted, and the few samples
		11 is missing		collected in WAA 11 were pooled with the samples collected in WAA 12.
M	2 –11	identical; WAA		This site was not sampled in WAA12. In this context we detected a typo in Suppl. Material 1 and 5, where we accidently
		12 is missing		indicated WAA12 instead of WAA 13. This mistake has now been corrected. (i.e., sample "12UM" → "13UM" and sample
				"12TM" → sample "13TM"
M	13	~20	~50	On the untreated site M in WAA13 some Orthocladiinae species had been wrongly identified as Cecidomyiidae intead of
				Chironomidae. After instructions of our chironomid specialist and co –author Susanne Michiels, this mistake was corrected.
CL	4	<10	~95	In WAA4 some Orthocladiinae were previously wrongly determined (see above) and only later integrated into the data set.
CL	5	<10	~35	In WAA5 some Orthocladiinae were previously wrongly determined (see above) and only later integrated into the data set.
CL	6	<10	~20	In WAA6 some Orthocladiinae were previously wrongly determined (see above) and only later integrated into the data set.
CL	7	<10	~15	In WAA7 some Orthocladiinae were previously wrongly determined (see above) and only later integrated into the data set.
CL	10	~30	~50	In WAA10 some Orthocladiinae were previously wrongly determined (see above) and only later integrated into the data set.
CL	12	~10	NA	From WAA11 onwards, 5 additional traps were deployed on this site for another side project. In these additional traps 10
				chironomids were collected, which were included in the report, but not later for the manuscript (for comparability reasons).
CL	13	~120	40	This discrepancy is due to the 5 additional traps (see above). The collected chironomids from these 5 traps were included for
				the report, but not in the manuscript.



In this context of clarification, we came across some additional mistakes in the Microsoft Access data base query of the abundance data, where a filter was missing for excluding traps that fell dry during summer. Also, the project in 2013 was conducted over a longer period, namely 18 weeks after initial Bti application (WAA). In the MBMG article we only used the data until WAA13, because after this week too many traps fell dry, with only some data points for aquatic insects remaining. However, in the originally published MBMG article we falsely included emergence data of all individuals until WAA18, including terrestrial taxa from traps that fell dry. The re-calculation of the GLMM still resulted in a 65% significant chironomid reduction in the Bti-treated sites only until WAA4, but not across the entire study period. However, this 65% reduction has to be interpreted with caution because of the strong site heterogeneity and the potentially highly species specific Bti effect (see table below for further details). For full transparency, a new Supplementary Material 3 with all abundance data has now been added to the corrected version of our article.

Due to the strong within-site heterogeneity and the resulting highly variable numbers of chironomids detected per trap within each site, we reduced our overall statement of a clearly negative Bti effect on the chironomid community. In this context we have rearranged and added some text parts, which can be found with tracked changes in the new Supplementary Material 6.

The authors apologize for any inconveniences resulting from these changes and want to explicitly thank the editorial staff for the very thorough review of our study and support in correcting the mistakes.

Finally, we would like to point out that the mistake only affected the overall abundance data, but the metabarcoding part of the study was not concerned and has not been changed.

Below we point out the main changes to be considered by the readers:

Page	Changes imposed			
Abstract	The re-calculation of the GLMM still resulted in a 65% significant chironomid reduction in the Bti-treated sites only until WAA4, but not			
	across the entire study period. However, this 65% reduction has to be interpreted with caution because of the strong site heterogeneity and			
	the potentially highly species specific Bti effect (see below for further details)			
Abstract	In the last sentence, chironomid community composition "will recover further" has to be changed to "might change".			
Methodology – Study sites/ page 3,	Further information on discrepancies of Bti treatment on the respective sites has to be considered. The helicopter application took place on			
second paragraph	April 10, 2013 using IcyPearls (Vectobac WG, ValentBiosciences) at a concentration of 1.44 × 109 ITU/ ha for M and 2.88 × 109 ITU/ ha			
	for S and G. Despite different Bti application rates, we subsequently categorized the further treated sites of the areas M, S and G as "Bti-			
	treated", the first season untreated sites of M, S, G as "untreated" and the control site CL as "never treated". On the application day and over			
	the following week, water depth at all sites varied only marginally (21 to 24 cm).			
Methodolgy – Emergence data/	Further explanation of sampling scheme and timing has to be added: "Samples of traps that fell dry during the sampling period were not			
Page 3	evaluated to minimize the presence of terrestrial chironomids in the data set. No sampling was conducted at site G in WAA11 and at sites S,			
	M and CL in WAA12 due to bad weather conditions."			
Figure 2/ Page 4	Figure 2 has to be added "No sampling was conducted at site G in WAA11 and at sites S, M and CL in WAA12."			
Results – Emergence data – 2 <sup>nd</sup>	High fluctuation "among traps" have to be highlighted. The term summer emergence peak has to be replaced by "increased emergence"			
paragraph/ Page 6				
Results – Bti effects on chironomid	GLMM output values were corrected for the analysis of both time periods, with the total numbers of individuals found at the Bti – treated			
community composition – 1st	and Bti –untreated sites until WAA4 added: "The abundance of emergent chironomids until WAA 4 at the Bti-treated sites (N = 162, see			
paragraph/ Page 7	Suppl. material 3) was significantly reduced (GLMM $t = 9.63$ , $p = 0.01$ , $df = 2$ ) by 65.0% compared to the abundance in the Bti-untreated			
	sites (N = 463; excluding the control site CL; see Suppl. material 3). However, chironomid emergence rates across WAA2 to WAA13 were			
	similar between Bti-treated and untreated sites (GLMM, $t = 0.19$ , $p = 0.87$ , $df = 2$ )."			
Discussion – Bti effects on	The first sentence has to be changed to: "Our analysis revealed a negative effect of Bti on chironomid emergence within the first four weeks			
chironomid community composition	after application." Moreover, it has to be pointed out in more detail that there was "no difference between Bti -treated and Bti -untreated			
- 2 <sup>nd</sup> paragraph/Page 9	sites regarding the chironomid abundance" over the whole study period.			
Discussion – Bti effects on	Species composition and sensitivity of different chironomid species towards Bti has to be discussed in more detail: "Bti toxicity has been			
chironomid community composition				
- 3 <sup>rd</sup> paragraph/Page 9	set was comprised of few very dominant and many rare chironomid taxa. On the one hand, some of these rare taxa, such as <i>Limnophyes</i>			
	minimus, Dicrotendipes spec., Paratanytarsus spec., Psectrocladius spec. and Tanytarsus spec., are known to be highly Bti sensitive (see			
	Wolfram et al. 2018 for a review of studies). More importantly for the results of this study, however, <i>P. uncinatum</i> comprised almost 50% of			
	all reads (see Suppl. material 5). Given the strong correlation of read numbers and specimen abundances (Suppl. material 4) <i>P. uncinatum</i>			
	is likely the most abundant chironomid taxon in the wetlands studied. If <i>P. uncinatum</i> is a rather Bti –insensitive species, as reported for			
	its sister species <i>P. tigrinum</i> (Kondo et al. 1995), this could have overlaid the overall signal of Bti treatment on chironomid abundances.			
	However, broad tests for species specific Bti sensitivity in chironomids are widely lacking (Kästel et al. 2017), making it difficult to make			
	overall assumptions of Bti effects on abundance changes."			
Discussion – Bti effects on	The wording on the effect on communities has to be changed to "only prominent" shortly after application			
chironomid community composition				
- 3 <sup>rd</sup> paragraph/Page 10				
Discussion – Bti effects on	The last sentence highlighting the comparison of four study sites has to be deleted.			
chironomid community composition				
- 4 <sup>th</sup> paragraph/Page 10				
Discussion – Bti effects on	The wording of the assumption on the chironomid diversity has to be changed to "reduced diversity"			
chironomid community composition				
- 5 <sup>th</sup> paragraph/Page 10				
Conclusion	The re-calculation of the GLMM still resulted in a 65% significant chironomid reduction in the Bti-treated sites only until WAA4, but not			
	across the entire study period. However, this 65% reduction has to be interpreted with caution because of the strong site heterogeneity and			
	the potentially highly species specific Bti effect (see above for further details).			
Supplementary material 3	A new Suppl. Material 3 was added showing "All abundance data of aquatic emergence over 13 weeks after Bti application (WAA) across			
	all sites $(G, M, S, CL)$ , treatment groups $(C = Bti - untreated; T = Bti - treated)$ and traps $(1 - 5)$ . This updated Supplemental material 3 also			
	includes a new Figure with Chironomid abundances across all traps per site, which have been log transformed for better readability.			